

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/872,589 05/31/2001		Sowmya Subramanian	. 262/118	2629	
23639 7590 04/10/2007 BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP		EXAMINER			
Three Embarca	dero Center		STORK, KYLE R		
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2178		
	•				
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/872,589	SUBRAMANIAN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kyle R. Stork	2178		

	Nyle R. Stork	2170						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ado	lress					
THE REPLY FILED 21 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following							
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final reject	on.					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing d	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two montl	hs of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will not be entered b	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in betaappeal; and/or			the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-91</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		-					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	A Jack NOT place the conflict	:	noo ha					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		in condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(P10/88/08) Paper No(s)							
	•							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's initial argument is based upon the belief that Copeland does not constitute prior art (page 19). However, the declarations under 37 CFR 1.131 filed 8 June 2006 has been insufficient to establish prior conception and/or dilligence as stated by the examiner in the Office Actions mailed 25 July 2006 and 17 January 2007, respectively. Therefore, this argument is not persuasive.

CESAR PAULA
PRIMARY EXAMINER